Foreword

Until the mid-1990s behavioral health was defined within the strict dichotomy of primary care and fundamental mental health services – a dichotomy that failed to mirror the range and complexity of human experience and clinical needs. Today's integrated behavioral health system renders this dichotomy obsolete.

Integrated health, service delivery integration offers an organized system of care rooted in a common vision and defined by processes intended to promote continuity and quality of care, coordination of efforts, efficiencies of operation, and seamless patient movement through an otherwise bewildering array of health care services.

In the text <u>The Integrated Behavioral Health Continuum: Theory and Practice</u>, the authors provide the rationale for the development of a continuum of quality care for the new millennium.¹ The theme of the book is that overcoming fragmented, uncoordinated, and episodic treatment is a high priority for everyone involved. Concerned parties are the patients, family members, clinicians, and practitioners who are concerned about the distribution of scarce resources.

The authors of *Behavioral Health Continuum* advocate that we need to do a better job in caring for individual patients and families in the complex medical marketplace. Alcona Health Centers and Thunder Bay Community Health Services, both of northeast Michigan, agreed with the premise of the text and hence adopted the Strosahl Model to address their patient's primary health care and wellness needs.

The major paradigm shift that occurred in primary care in the mid 1990s has greatly expanded the role of the behavioral health therapist and psychologist. And nowhere is this paradigm shift more dramatic than at Kaiser Permanente of Northern California. Kaiser has adopted a strategy for offering traditional primary care services blended with behavioral health. Essential to the strategy is the MSW and psychologist functioning as Behavioral Medicine Specialist (BMS). The integration of the behavioral health professional in the primary care environment is commonly now referred to as the Strosahl Model. A BMS functions as an integral part of a multidisciplinary, primary care team.

Two psychologists, Kirk Strosahl PhD and Steven Tulkin PhD, have played dominant roles in the redesign effort within Kaiser. Both were presenters in a recent American Psychology Association symposium. Drawing upon his experience at the Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound, Dr. Strosahl served as a consultant in Kaiser's efforts to implement the integrated care model.

Dr. Strosahl noted that research suggests that a staggering 70% of all primary care visits are driven by psychological factors. Dr. Tulkin, the principal Kaiser psychologist on the redesign committee, reported that of the medical visits driven by psychological factors,

¹ Laurel J. Kiser, Ph.D., M.B.A., Paul M. Lefkovitz, Ph.D., and Lawrence L. Kennedy, M.D. The Integrated Behavioral Health Continuum: Theory and Practice, American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc., 2001, New York, NY.

the following concerns were common: depression, anxiety, somatization, chronic pain, job/family problems, and miscellaneous concerns (borderline personalities and substance abuse were mentioned).

According to Corine Giantonio PhD (Kaiser's first BMS in Northern California) research has shown that much of the treatment for depression and other behavioral health difficulties already occurs in primary care. Among the reasons for this is that many patients are reluctant to accept a referral for psychiatric services, and so their medical doctors attempt to manage them as best they can. When Kaiser included BMSs in primary care, common psychological disorders have been identified and treated earlier thus saving significant cost and providing services in a manner much preferred by many patients.

Not surprising, this paradigm shift was not driven by psychologists seeking to gain inclusion in primary care nor primary care practitioners realizing a need to integrate behavioral health professionals, but rather by business considerations. Kaiser believes it can offer superior, yet less expensive, primary care by inclusion of BMSs. Being an HMO, Kaiser is better positioned to do this than are traditional fee-for-service systems. In Sacramento, California where Kaiser also offers behavioral medicine classes and groups in addition to the presence of BMSs, 30%-40% reductions in medical visits are common.

Integrated primary care is a revolutionary practice model. It has a strong basis in research, and could fundamentally alter the future course of the traditional model of providing primary care. When a paradigm shift occurs, some solutions are offered, while many new questions are generated. That will almost certainly be the case here.

Integrated Behavioral Health Project of NE Michigan – Project Introduction

In September 2004 a grant application was submitted to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resource and Services Administration, Office on Rural Health Policy. The Federal grant program was the *Rural Health Care Services Outreach Grant Program.* The grant Applicant was Alcona Health Centers of 177 N. Barlow Rd, Lincoln, Michigan 48742. Christine M. Baumgardner, M.A. was the Executive Director of the agency at the time of the application and remains so today.

The application proposed to launch behavioral health services at four Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) primary care clinic sites. Two of the clinic sites are owned and managed by Alcona Health Centers (Applicant) and two belong to another FQHC, Thunder Bay Community Health Services. The application was awarded in May 2005 and the integrated health service project was in fact initiated in the fall of that year.

The project is titled "Integrated Behavioral Health Care of Northeast Michigan" (IBHNEM) and is an expansion and enhancement project. The project uses a combination of limited licensed counselors, MSWs, clinical psychologists and psychiatrists to cover the four (4) pilot integrated behavioral health clinic sites (see map below).



As a result of being awarded the grant, Alcona Health Centers and Thunder Bay Community Health Services have implemented a Strosahl-type integrated behavioral health model at a total of four (4) clinics in the following northeast, lower peninsula Michigan counties: Alcona (Village of Lincoln), Iosco (Oscoda Township), Montmorency (Village of Atlanta), and Presque Isle (City of Rogers City).

The target population for this project is an estimated 12,000-plus rural residents of a four county area in northeast Michigan who are in need of standard psychiatric, counseling, and referral services. This estimate of need was based on research and a resulting report published by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH).

According to the report by the NIMH entitled "*The Numbers Count: Mental Disorders in America*," an estimated 22.1 percent of Americans ages 18 and older suffer from a diagnosable mental disorder in a given year. It is estimated that one in seven children and adolescents (<18 yrs old) suffer from a diagnosable mental disorder in a given year. The NIMH statistics would indicate that the population of **adults** needing behavioral health services in the IBHNEM four-county area needing mental health services numbers 10,848. Additionally 1,322 **children** require services for a diagnosable mental disorder.

The original grant proposal raised a reasonable question: who is treating these over 12,000 people in need of behavioral health services in the four-county, intended service area? The answer to this question is that the vast majority needing therapy or treatment in the subject four counties are not being treated – or receiving any services at all – because of the following service-delivery crisis in the State of Michigan.

A Crisis in Michigan

For the previous nearly 25 years, the State of Michigan has moved patients needing mental health services out of the State system, and into a community care setting. Although in principle community-based care is a preferred option to institutionalizing, local communities were not prepared for the transition from the State to communities throughout Michigan, nor were they monitored adequately in the transition or adequately funded. In 2007 Michigan's local mental health services – which represents the State of Michigan's system of mental health care on the county-level – is wholly overwhelmed and struggling to serve only the persistently, severely mentally ill.

Two State-funded, community mental health agencies operate in the counties where the IBHNEM's target population resides. Au Sable Valley Community Mental Health Services operates in Iosco County (as well as three other counties), and Northeast Michigan Community Mental Health Services serves Alcona, Montmorency and Presque Isle Counties. But the two State-funded agencies are not meeting the need for mental health services² in the subject counties of Alcona, Iosco, Montmorency and Presque Isle. The table below illustrates the unmet need in the IBHNEM project's target population.

² According to Michigan's Mission-based Performance Indicator System for Persons with Mental Illness, Community Mental Health Performance Report dated March 2003, Northeast Michigan Community Mental Health was the lowest ranking CMH in Michigan for accessing a behavioral health professional

Table of Unmet Need for Integrated Behavioral Health Care of Northeast Michigan Project (IBHNEM) – 2004	Service Population Base	Current Number Adults Served	Current Number Children Served	Est. Unmet Need - Adults	Est. Unmet Need - Children
Northeast Michigan Community Mental Health					
Alcona, Montmorency, Presque Isle Counties	36445	737	268	5695	781
Au Sable Valley Community Mental Health					
Iosco County	27339	542	240	5153	541
TOTALS	63784	1279	508	10848	1322

The severely, persistently mentally ill generally require continual care and treatment, in many cases over a lifetime. But many categories of mental and emotional illness can be treated and recovery can be realized. And the 12,000 persons in our target population largely fit this description. They may suffer from the following:

- Depressive Disorders (per National Institute of Mental Health [NIMH], 9.5 percent of adult population)
- Mild schizophrenia and other mild psychotic disorders (per NIMH, 1.1 percent of adult population)
- Anxiety and panic disorders (per NIMH, 13.3 percent of adult population)
- Eating, sleep, substance, and impulse control disorders (per NIMH, 5.6 percent of adult population)
- ADD and ADHD disorders (per NIMH, 4.1 percent of youth population; 2.1percent of adult population)
- Alzheimer's Disease (per NIMH, 1.4 percent of adult population)
- Problems related to abuse and neglect

The over 12,000 persons in need of therapy and treatment in the four county, target population could reasonably be classified in categories *other than* severely and persistently mentally ill, but are most certainly suffering from a diagnosable mental disorder within the categories cited above in bullet form.

Integrated Behavioral Health Proposed Services. In Year One the Applicant and its' partners proposed to provide new psychiatric services at four FQHC clinics (Alcona Health Centers Oscoda & Lincoln sites; and TBCHS Atlanta & Rogers City sites), add two new behavioral health consultants to the at-that-time staffing at two, and new neuro-psychologist services to address the needs of our substantial elderly population. The

following a request for service; Au Sable Valley Community Mental Health was not far behind. Both CMHs failed to meet standards for providing service for follow up purposes according to that same report. The report also indicates especially older residents in the region do not feel comfortable using NEMCMH services in contrast to other CMH's in Michigan.

Applicant also proposed to provide telepsychiatry in Years Two and Three of the grant. Finally, the Applicant proposed to provide behavioral health services to 5,019 adult and children during the three-year grant period.³

The Integrated Behavioral Health Project of Northeast Michigan met the HRSA Rural Health Outreach grant program stated goals on several levels. The Project as proposed focuses on primary care, serves as a wellness and prevention strategy, involves Federally Qualified Health Centers, is located in HPSA areas, uses telehealth to improve access to care, and significantly addresses mental health service needs.

The proposed expansion is possible due to the established, formal and functional partnership between AHC, TBCHS, Northern Collaborative Care and Alpena General Hospital. In our integrated behavioral health care model (Strosahl), psychiatrists, psychologists and behavioral health consultants are to be integral members of the primary care system at Alcona Health Centers and Thunder Bay Community Health Services. State of Michigan health departments and the regional community mental health department will serve as referral agents for the project. A formal evaluation process is proposed using FERA of Ann Arbor, Michigan as the independent evaluator firm. This expansion of service is holistic, cost efficient and very much needed.

Needs/Barriers to be Addressed. It is estimated there are over 12,000 persons in the area served by our consortium who are in need of mental health services. The two, state-funded community mental health service agencies operating in the same areas as the subject clinics cannot provide services to anyone unless they are <u>severely and persistently</u> mentally ill. The 12,000 adults and children in need are faced with multiple obstacles to service, including HPSA, MUA status; low income, education, and cultural barriers; isolation and rurality; stigmas; no facilities or resources; funding disparities; and age discrimination. Due to current clinic staffing levels, in FY2003, our clinic behavioral health staff had just 1,561 encounters with patients. With this grant, our goal is to provide an additional 5,019 new encounters during this three-year project.

Consortium Members. The primary consortium members are: Alcona Health Centers, a five site FQHC rural health clinic & this grant and project manager/fiduciary; Thunder Bay Community Health Services, a three site FQHC rural health clinic provider; Alpena Regional Medical Center, a 146-bed rural hospital with a full service behavioral health department; and Northern Collaborative Care, a model network provider and the administrative services umbrella to the two FQHC partners. Consortium members have a 20-year relationship of partnering on projects and jointly solving regional health care issues. Secondary partners in our consortium are State of Michigan District Health Departments #2 & #4 and the Northeast Michigan Community Mental Health Department serving as key referral sites.

³ This goal was later amended to 5,019 total encounters versus persons.

Project Program Goals

Program Goal	Anticipated Outcomes	Deliverable(s)	Funds Used/Time
A. Enhance and	Creates a stronger,	All consortium members	Travel to OHRP
strengthen the	better organized and	have worked to develop a	Conference, \$1,593.
organizational	managed program, to	formal structure, including a	Time used per
structure, operations,	the benefit of patient-	signed Memorandum of	Conference schedule
and outreach of the	users, project	Agreement among	and travel time,
consortium	consortium members.	members; developing	opportunity costs.
		protocols for patient care	
		and processing, scheduling,	Attorney costs for
		communications between	MOA drafting,
		consortium members and	execution, \$2,831.
		practitioners, and administrative processes.	Contracted expense.
		Goal accomplished.	Travel expense,
		•	Mileage for meetings,
			\$97.24
			All expenses listed
			Federal.
B. Complete	Ensures that resources	Scheduling process and	N/A
scheduling policy and	are used effectively, to	treatment protocols are	
protocols for	the benefit of both	agreed upon. Goal	
psychiatrists within	patient users and	accomplished.	
first 90 days of	clinics; assists		
program funding	providers and patients;		
	provides for		
	sustainability of		
C. Recruit and hire	project. Fulfills AGH	Psychiatrist hired. Full	N/A
one (1) psychiatrist,	behavioral health	complement of four	1N/A
bringing AGH	strategic plan	psychiatrists in place	
complement to four	recommendation;	(Arora, Barba, Gallardo,	
(4) psychiatrists	reduces workload on	Rajasekhar). Credentialing	
within first 90 days of	current, three	process being completed.	
program funding	psychiatrists on staff at	Goal accomplished.	
program randing	AGH. Allows for	Services begin January 3,	
	better program service.	2006.	
D. Recruit and hire	Fulfills AGH	Neuro psychologist	N/A
one (1) neuro	behavioral health	identified and negotiations	
psychologist within	strategic plan	being completed. To begin	
first 90 days of	recommendation;	service on January 3, 2006.	
program funding	reduces workload on		
	AHC Project Director,		
	and existing and		
	proposed new		
	therapists. Allows for		
E. Recruit and hire	better program service. Fulfills AHC, TBCHS,	Both behavioral health	N/A
	NCC behavioral health	consultants have been hired	IN/A
two (2) behavioral			
health therapists, one each for AHC and	plan recommendation; reduces workload on	(Hubbard, Donajkowski). Goal accomplished.	
TBCHS within first	current, two therapists.		
	current, two therapists.		

Integrated Behavioral Health Care of Northeast Michigan – Alcona Health Centers

		1	
90 days of program	Allows for better		
funding	program service.		
F. Complete	Pivotal to creating	Education plan is being	N/A
Education Plan for	public awareness and	finalized, and will begin	
behavioral health	acceptance of	implementation in January,	
project within first 90	integrated behavioral	2006.	
days of program	health care services;		
funding	tied to increased visit		
	Goals.		
G. Strengthen	Scheduling efficiencies	Ongoing.	N/A
scheduling	helps long-term		
coordination function	sustainability of		
among consortium	program, to the benefit		
members	of current and		
	prospective users.		
H. Increase number of	Number of children	Two (2) new therapists are	\$37,261, half portion
CHILDREN visits for	ages 17 & under	seeing patients, both	Federal, behavioral
behavioral health	presently not accessing	children and adults.	health consultant.
issues in Year One at	behavioral health	Encounters are as follows:	
Alcona Health	services in and around	Thunder Bay Community	
Centers Oscoda and	the counties of Alcona	Health Services: 228	
Lincoln sites by	and Iosco reduced, and	encounters, 8/15/05 –	
minimum 25%, target	number of new	11/23/05.	
156 encounters;	encounters among this	Alcona Health Centers: 276	
Increase in Year Two	target population	encounters, 6/13/05 –	
by minimum 25%,	increased.	11/23/05. On Track.	
target 275			
encounters; Increase			
in Year Three by			
minimum 25%, target			
361 encounters.			
I. Increase number of	Number of children	Two (2) new therapists are	See above H note.
<u>CHILDREN</u> visits for	ages 17 & under	seeing patients, both	
behavioral health	presently not accessing	children and adults.	
issues in Year One at	behavioral health	Encounters are as follows:	
<u>Thunder Bay</u>	services in and around	Thunder Bay Community	
Community Health	the counties of	Health Services: 228	
Services Atlanta and	Montmorency and	encounters, 8/15/05 –	
Rogers City sites by	Presque Isle reduced,	11/23/05.	
minimum 25%, target	and number of visits	Alcona Health Centers: 276	
107 encounters;	among this target	encounters, 6/13/05 –	
Increase in Year Two	population increased.	11/23/05. On Track.	
by minimum 25%,			
target 189			
encounters; Increase			
in Year Three by			
minimum 25%, target			
247 encounters.			
J. Increase number of	Number of Adults 18+	Two (2) new therapists are	See above H note.
ADULTS (18+) visits	presently not accessing	seeing patients, both	
for behavioral health	behavioral health	children and adults.	
issues in Year One at	services in and around	Encounters are as follows:	
Alcona Health	the counties of Alcona	Thunder Bay Community	
Centers Oscoda and	and Iosco reduced, and	Health Services: 228	
Lincoln sites by	number of visits among	encounters, 8/15/05 –	
minimum 25%, target		11/23/05.	

420			,
430 encounters;	this target population	Alcona Health Centers: 276	
Increase in Year Two	increased.	encounters, 6/13/05 –	
by minimum 25%,		11/23/05. On Track.	
target 760			
encounters; Increase			
in Year Three by			
minimum 25%, target			
994 encounters.			
K. Increase number of	Number of Adults 18+	Two (2) new therapists are	See above H note.
ADULTS (18+) visits	presently not accessing	seeing patients, both	
for behavioral health	behavioral health	children and adults.	
issues in Year One at	services in and around	Encounters are as follows:	
Thunder Bay	the counties of Presque	Thunder Bay Community	
Community Health	Isle and Montmorency	Health Services: 128	
Services Atlanta and	reduced, and number of	encounters, 8/15/05 –	
Rogers City sites by	visits among this target	11/23/05.	
minimum 25%, target	population increased.	Alcona Health Centers: 186	
295 encounters;	1 1	encounters, 6/13/05 –	
increase in Year Two		11/23/05. On Track.	
by minimum 25%,			
target 522			
encounters; increase			
in Year Three by			
minimum 25%, target			
683 encounters.			
L. Acquire and install	Allows for consistent	Abandoned per	N/A
4 units of Desk View	transmission quality	correspondence from	1 1/ 1 1
for installation at	and software	Alocna Health Center to	
clinics	communication; benefit	ORHP/HRSA; revising	
chines	to practitioners,	strategy.	
	patients.	strategy.	
M. Acquire and	Allows for consistent	Abandoned per	N/A
install 6 units of	transmission quality	correspondence from	
bandwidth optimizer	and software	Alocna Health Center to	
data compressors	communication; benefit	ORHP/HRSA; revising	
uata compressors	,		
	to practitioners,	strategy.	
N. Ensure	patients. Telehealth is essential	On hold panding designs	N/A
		On hold pending decision on T-1 lines and need for	IN/A
cooperation and	to the long term		
coordination in	sustainability of the	additional IT and	
information services	project. Patient-users	transmission equipment (see	
functions	will benefit from costs	CARRYOVER above.	
	savings resulting from		
	telehealth.		

Practitioner and Consultant Survey, Interviews

The value and efficacy of program evaluation can be perceived differently by affected parties. In behavioral health research conducted by the Stanford University Children's Health Council, findings indicated that psychiatrists are less cooperative and more

skeptical of program evaluation than non-physician therapists.⁴ In our evaluation effort, we sought to include physicians (both DO and MD), PhD psychologists, nurse practitioners, physician's assistants, behavioral health consultants (MSW and LPC), and psychiatrists. All were involved through the completion of a written survey in 2007, and through face-to-face interviews conducted in 2006 and 2007. The survey results and findings are presented first, with a summary of interview highlights from the face-to-face meetings closing out this section of the evaluation.

A tip of the hat to the Stanford research referenced above: we found non-physician willingness to participate in the process of program evaluation to be enthusiastic and supportive; physicians were less able or willing to participate. We believe that this has more to do with the crucial role physicians play in federally qualified health center revenue generation, and the perceived and real value of physician time.

Practitioner Survey

The results of the practitioner survey are presented below with evaluator comment presented that is relevant to the evaluation process. There were 21 participants in the survey, which does not provide a statistically significant sampling to be applicable to other organizations and settings. However for the purpose of determining Alcona Health Centers and Thunder Bay Community Health Services staff and practitioner opinion, the pool is more than adequate.

Question 1. Type of primary health care provider: (Check one)

N=21

42.86% Family Practice Physiciar	
14.29% Internal Medicine Physici	an
0.00% Pediatric Physician	
38.10% Physician Assistant	
4.76% Nurse Practitioner	
0.00% Psychiatrist	

Evaluator Comment: In the written survey, we experienced excellent physician participation, with over 57% of returned surveys coming from physicians.

Question 2. Generally speaking, are your patients who you referred to the **Behavioral Health Program experiencing any of the following?** (Check as many as appropriate)

N=21; however, more than one selection may be made

47.62% Decrease in physical symptoms

⁴ Lynne C. Huffman, Jacqueline Martin, Luba Botcheva, Sharon E. Williams, Jennifer Dyer-Friedman, Evaluation & the Health Professions, Vol. 27, No. 2, 165-188 (2004).

75.71%	Improved overall health
23.81%	No change in health status since referral
0.00%	Worsening health

Evaluator Comment: Perception of respondents is that the overall health of patients improves when they are referred to behavioral health services, though less than half see this improvement in terms of physical health. It is clear that the practitioners see this improvement of health status being balanced between mental and physical health.

Question 3. How long do your patients participate in the behavioral health program on average? (Check one)

N=21

0.00%	< 1 month
28.57%	1-3 months
52.38%	4-6 months
19.05%	7-12 months
0.00%	> 12 months

Evaluator Comment: More than eighty percent of patients referred to behavioral health services participate less than six (6) months; only one-fifth continue beyond six months.

4. The assessment by your patients regarding the quality of their behavioral health care has been: (Check one)

N=21

4.76%	Excellent
42.86%	Very good
52.38%	Good
0.00%	Fair
0.00%	Poor

Evaluator Comment: The response indicates a perceived high level of satisfaction with the behavioral health care with greater than 47% of respondents indicating perceived satisfaction of very good or excellent.

5. How would you rate your <u>WORKING RELATIONSHIP</u> with the following BH practitioners?

(Check one box for your response for each class of BH practitioner)

N=21

	Behavioral Health Consultants (MSW, etc)	Psychologists (PhD)	Psychiatrists (MD)
Excellent	28.57%	23.81%	9.52%
Very Good	47.62%	28.57%	28.57%
Good	23.81%	38.10%	28.57%
Fair	0.00%	0.00%	9.52%

Poor	0.00%	0.00%	4.76%
Do Not Know	0.00%	9.52%	19.05%

Evaluator Comment: We provided opportunity for physicians, physician assistants, and nurse practitioners to rate the interaction with and clinical acumen of the behavioral health practitioners.

The term "working relationship" refers to the communication, responsiveness, professionalism, mutual support, and role fulfillment exchanged between co-laborers. With regard to working relationships, the masters-level consultants received the highest ratings (>76% Very Good or Excellent) with medical professionals. PhD psychologists were rated second (>52% Very Good or Excellent). Neither consultants nor psychologists received Fair of Poor ratings.

Psychiatrists were rated lowest, with a 38% Very Good or Excellent rating, 28% Good rating. Psychiatrists were the only behavioral health professionals with Fair or Poor ratings, combined at >14%. Psychiatrists also had a high "Do Not Know" selection at 19%, which may be attributed to the fact that the physicians do not interact with psychiatrists regularly, and that psychiatrists are only at the clinics 1-2 days per week. Finally, psychiatrists are not employees of the clinics, and therefore may be viewed as "outsiders."

6. How would you rate the quality of your <u>COMMUNICATION</u> with the following BH practitioners? (Check one box for your response for each class of BH practitioner)

	Behavioral Health Consultants (MSW, etc)	Psychologists (PhD)	Psychiatrists (MD)
Excellent	33.33%	19.05%	9.52%
Very Good	47.62%	42.86%	38.10%
Good	19.05%	28.57%	19.05%
Fair	0.00%	0.00%	9.52%
Poor	0.00%	0.00%	4.76%
Do Not Know	0.00%	9.52%	19.05%

N=21

Evaluator Comment:

7. How would you rate the quality of the <u>MEDICAL RECORD ENTRIES</u> of the following practitioners? (Check one box for your response for each class of BH practitioner)

N=21

	Behavioral Health Consultants (MSW, etc)	Psychologists (PhD)	Psychiatrists (MD)
Excellent	14.29%	9.52%	14.29%
Very Good	52.38%	38.10%	42.86%

Good	23.81%	33.33%	19.05%
Fair	9.52%	9.52%	4.76%
Poor	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Do Not Know	0.00%	9.52%	19.05%

Evaluator Comment:

8. Are behavioral health team members communicating patient status and progress to your satisfaction? (Check one)

N=21

95.24%	Yes
4.76%	No

Evaluator Comment:

9. How do behavioral health team members communicate patient status and progress? (Check one)

N=21

0.00%	Verbal only
4.76%	Medical record only
95.24%	Combination of verbal and medical record
0.00%	None of the above

Evaluator Comment:

10. Approximately what percent of your patients is <u>SELF REFERRING OR REQUEST A</u> <u>REFERRAL</u> to the behavioral health program? **(Check one)**

N=21

61.90%	1-10 %
23.81%	11-20%
4.76%	21-30%
4.76%	31-40%
4.76%	41-50%
0.00%	>50%

Evaluator Comment:

11. Approximately what percent of your patients <u>ARE YOU REFERRING</u> to a behavioral health team member (MSW, PhD, Psychiatrist)? **(Check one)**

N=21

19.05%	1-10 %
23.81%	11-20%
23.81%	21-30%
14.29%	31-40%
9.52%	41-50%
9.52%	>50%

Evaluator Comment:

12. Generally, how receptive are your patients to being referred to the behavioral health program?

(Check one)

N=21

14.29%	Very receptive
52.38%	Receptive
28.57%	Somewhat receptive
4.76%	Somewhat resistant
0.00%	Resistant
0.00%	Very resistant

Evaluator Comment:

13. How would you rate the program's impact on the overall health of your patient? (Choose one)

N=21

9.53%	Excellent
28.57%	Very Good
61.90%	Good
0.00%	Fair
0.00%	Poor

Evaluator Comment:

14. Please indicate if you would agree with this statement:

"The behavioral health program at the clinic(s) where I work is truly integrated into the primary care setting. I view the BH program as an integral partner in patient care at our clinic."

N=21

(Choose one)

42.86%Strongly Agree38.10%Agree

14.29%	Somewhat Agree
4.76%	Somewhat Disagree
0.00%	Strongly Disagree

Evaluator Comment: